## 111TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

## H. R. 4237

To ensure that the courts of the United States may provide an impartial forum for claims brought by United States citizens and others against any railroad organized as a separate legal entity, arising from the deportation of United States citizens and others to Nazi concentration camps on trains owned or operated by such railroad, and by the heirs and survivors of such persons.

## IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

**DECEMBER 8, 2009** 

Mrs. Maloney (for herself, Ms. Ros-Lehtinen, and Mr. Nadler of New York) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary

## A BILL

To ensure that the courts of the United States may provide an impartial forum for claims brought by United States citizens and others against any railroad organized as a separate legal entity, arising from the deportation of United States citizens and others to Nazi concentration camps on trains owned or operated by such railroad, and by the heirs and survivors of such persons.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
- 3 SECTION 1. CONGRESSIONAL FINDINGS.
- 4 Congress finds as follows:

- (1) During World War II, more than 75,000

  Jews and thousands of other persons were deported from France to Nazi concentration camps, on trains operated for profit by the Société Nationale des Chemins de fer Français (in this Act referred to as "SNCF"), including deportations to Auschwitz and Buchenwald. Numerous citizens and residents of the United States were among those who were on the trains or had relatives on the trains. United States servicemen who were pilots shot down over France were also among the persons deported on the SNCF trains to Nazi concentration camps.
  - (2) United States citizens and others have sought redress against SNCF by filing a class action suit in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York. The named plaintiffs and class members include United States Army Air Force pilots and United States citizens.
  - (3) The complaint filed alleges that SNCF, a separate corporate entity that remained independent during World War II, operated the deportation trains for a profit, as ordinary commercial transactions. SNCF remained under French civilian control throughout World War II and is alleged to have collaborated willingly with the German Nazi regime.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(4) The complaint alleges that SNCF provided the necessary rolling stock, scheduled the departures, and supplied the employees to operate the trains bound for the concentration camps. SNCF allegedly charged an ordinary passenger coach fare for the deportations, calculated per person and per kilometer, and considered these trains as ordinary commercial activities. The plaintiffs further contend that SNCF herded as many people as possible into each car, requiring passengers of all ages and sexes, including the elderly and young children, to stand throughout the trip of several days' duration, with no provision for food or water and no sanitary facilities. The complaint further alleges that SNCF cleaned the trains after each trip, removing the corpses of persons who perished during transit due to the execrable conditions of the train cars. The destination was in each case a camp in which the deportees were to be exterminated, worked to death, or made to suffer terrible and inhuman conditions.

(5) The complaint contends that SNCF's actions violated the Principles of the Nuremberg Tribunal, 1950, relating to crimes under international law (earlier recognized by the Martens Clause of the Hague Convention IV of 1907), and aided and abet-

- ted the commission of war crimes and crimes against
  humanity. SNCF has not denied its actions and has
  never disgorged the money that it was paid for the
  deportations or otherwise compensated the deportees
  or their heirs.
  - (6) SNCF's records concerning the deportation trains have not been made available to the plaintiffs, and SNCF archives concerning its wartime activities remain closed to the general public.
  - (7) SNCF moved to dismiss the lawsuit on a claim of sovereign immunity under the foreign sovereign immunities provisions of title 28, United States Code (28 U.S.C. 1330 and 1602 et seq.), even though it is one of the 500 largest corporations in the world, earns hundreds of millions of dollars from its commercial activities in the United States, and is not accorded sovereign immunity under the laws of France. SNCF's motion to dismiss the lawsuit was granted by the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York. Plaintiffs appealed the decision, their appeal was granted, and the case was remanded for further proceedings. Subsequently, in light of Republic of Austria v. Altmann, 541 U.S. 677 (2004), in November 2004, on remand, the Court of Appeals for the Second Cir-

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

cuit recalled its prior mandate and determined that SNCF was entitled to immunity and affirmed the dismissal of the complaint. The Second Circuit stated that "the railroad's conduct at the time lives on in infamy" but concluded that "the evil actions of the French national railroad's former private masters in knowingly transporting thousands to death camps during World War II are not susceptible to legal redress in Federal court today.".

(8) This lawsuit, which arises from the unique historical facts of the deportation of persons to Nazi concentration camps, presents issues of substantial importance to citizens and veterans of the United States. Many of those who have sought redress against SNCF are elderly and would have difficulty traveling outside the United States in order to pursue their claims elsewhere. The courts of the United States are and should be a proper forum for this lawsuit. The Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act of 1976, which had not been enacted at the time of SNCF's actions during World War II, was not intended to bar suit against the SNCF.

1	SEC. 2. ACCESS TO UNITED STATES COURTS FOR HOLO-
2	CAUST DEPORTEES.
3	(a) Jurisdiction of District Courts.—The
4	United States district courts shall have original jurisdic-
5	tion, without regard to the amount in controversy, of any
6	civil action for damages for personal injury or death
7	that—
8	(1) arose from the deportation of persons to
9	Nazi concentration camps during the period begin-
10	ning on January 1, 1942, and ending on December
11	31, 1944; and
12	(2) is brought by any such person, or any heir
13	or survivor of such person, against a railroad that—
14	(A) owned or operated the trains on which
15	the persons were so deported; and
16	(B) was organized as a separate legal enti-
17	ty at the time of the deportation, whether or
18	not any of the equity interest in the railroad
19	was owned by a foreign state.
20	(b) Other Laws Not Applicable.—Sections 1330
21	and 1601 through 1611 of title 28, United States Code,
22	or any other law limiting the jurisdiction of the United
23	States courts, whether by statute or under common law,
24	shall not preclude any action under subsection (a).
25	(c) Inapplicability of Statutes of Limita-
26	TION.—An action described in subsection (a) shall not be

- 1 barred by a defense that the time for bringing such action
- 2 has expired under a statute of limitations.
- 3 (d) Applicability.—This section shall apply to any
- 4 action pending on January 1, 2002, and to any action

5 commenced on or after that date.

 $\bigcirc$